

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,371	09/16/2003	Katsunori Yamazaki	116573	9410	
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 03/14/2007 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 19928			XIAO, KE		
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
		•	2629	<u> </u>	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/14/2007	PAF	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

7		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/662,371	YAMAZAKI, KATSUNORI					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Ke Xiao	2629					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status		•	·					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 De	ecember 2006.						
,	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
· —	Since this application is in condition for allowar		rs, prosecution as to the merits	s is				
, —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-11 is/are pending in the application.								
. کے	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•					
	Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-11 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to	·						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		-					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)□	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	,	•					
''/'	The dath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached	Office Action of John 1 10-132	•				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f) . a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	•					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	•		•					
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	/Mail Date					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application					

PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Yamazaki (JP 06-027899).

Regarding independent **Claim 1**, Yamazaki teaches an electro-optical device including a plurality of scanning lines and a plurality of data lines, which are wired to cross the scanning lines (Yamazaki, Fig. 5 elements X1-X6 and Y1-Y6), comprising:

an electrode, which is wired to cross the data lines and is capacitively coupled with the data lines (Yamazaki, Fig. 5 elements Y2 and Y5);

an inversion logic circuit, comprising an input terminal supplied with a predetermined level for a bias level, that compares signal levels generated in the electrode to the predetermined level to produce an output value (Yamazaki, Fig. 5, element 531 and reference voltages V1 and V4); and

logic circuits that selectively adjust a signal supplied to each scanning line by one of two predetermined amounts based, in part, upon the output value produced by the inversion logic circuit (Yamazaki, Fig. 5 elements 532, 533, V1' and V2').

Art Unit: 2629

Regarding **Claim 7**, Yamazaki further teaches an electronic apparatus comprising the electro-optical device of Claim 1 (Yamazaki, Fig. 5).

Regarding **Claim 8**, Yamazaki further teaches that the output value generated by the inversion logic circuit is high is a signal level generated in the electrode is lower than the predetermined level and low if a signal level generated in the electrode is higher than the predetermined level (Yamazaki, Paragraphs [0044-0049] depending on the value generated by the electrode Yamazaki balances the final adjustment voltage which satisfies the claim language).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki (JP 06-027899) in view of the Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

Regarding independent **Claim 2**, Yamazaki teaches an electro-optical device (Yamazaki, Fig. 5) including:

a plurality of scanning lines (Yamazaki, Fig. 5 elements Y1-Y6);

a scanning line driving circuit that supplies to each of the scanning lines a scanning signal which is set to be at a selection level and a non-selection level

Art Unit: 2629

corresponding to a selection period and a non-selection period of each scanning line (Yamazaki, Fig. 9);

a plurality of data lines which are wired to cross the scanning lines (Yamazaki, Fig. 5 elements X1-X6);

a data line driving circuit that supplies to each of the data lines a data signal on the basis of display data (Yamazaki, Fig. 5 element 11); and

pixels provided in portions where the scanning lines cross the data lines and driven on the basis of the scanning signals and the data signals (Yamazaki, Figs. 5 and 9 elements X and Y),

the electro-optical device comprising:

an electrode, which is wired to cross the data lines and is capacitively coupled with the data lines (Yamazaki, Fig. 5 elements Y2 and Y5);

an inversion logic circuit, comprising an input terminal supplied with a predetermined level for a bias level, that compares signal levels generated in the electrode to the predetermined level to produce an output value (Yamazaki, Fig. 5, element 531 and reference voltages V1 and V4); and

logic circuits that selectively adjust a signal supplied to each scanning line by one of two predetermined amounts based, in part, upon the output value produced by the inversion logic circuit (Yamazaki, Fig. 5 elements 532, 533, V1' and V2').

Yamazaki fails to teach that the data signals are pulse width is modulated on the basis of display data. The AAPA teaches that it is well known in the art to use pulse

Art Unit: 2629

width modulated data signals based on display data (AAPA, Col. 1 paragraph [0008]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use pulse width modulation as taught by the AAPA in the display device of Yamazaki in order to further enhance the control of gray scale images.

Regarding **Claim 4**, Yamazaki further teaches the logic circuits not adjusting the signal supplied to each scanning line at an early state of the selection period to the selection level (Yamazaki, Fig. 5 feedback loops always have a delay therefore it can be considered not an early state).

Regarding independent **Claim 5**, Yamazaki teaches a method of driving an electro-optical device including a plurality of scanning lines (Yamazaki, Fig. 5 element Y1-Y6), a scanning line driving circuit that supplies to each of the scanning lines a scanning signal which is set to be at a selection level and a non-selection level corresponding to a selection period and a non-selection period of each scanning line (Yamazaki, Fig. 9), a plurality of data lines which are wired to cross the scanning lines, a data line driving circuit that supplies to each of the data lines a data signal on the basis of display data (Yamazaki, Fig. 5 element X1-X6), and pixels provided in portions where the scanning lines cross the data lines and driven on the basis of the scanning signals and the data signals (Yamazaki, Fig. 5, X and Y), the method comprising:

wiring an electrode to cross the data lines and is capacitively coupling the electrode with the data lines (Yamazaki, Fig. 5 elements Y2 and Y5);

Art Unit: 2629

comparing signal levels generated in the electrode to the predetermined level to produce an output value (Yamazaki, Fig. 5, element 531, reference V1 and V4); and selectively adjusting a signal supplied to each scanning line by one of two predetermined amounts based, in part, upon the output value (Yamazaki, Fig. 5 elements 532, 533, V1' and V2').

Yamazaki fails to teach that the data signals are pulse width is modulated on the basis of display data. The AAPA teaches that it is well known in the art to use pulse width modulated data signals based on display data (AAPA, Col. 1 paragraph [0008]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use pulse width modulation as taught by the AAPA in the display device of Yamazaki in order to further enhance the control of gray scale images.

Regarding independent **Claim 6**, Yamazaki teaches a circuit for driving an electro-optical device (Yamazaki, Fig. 5) including:

a plurality of scanning lines (Yamazaki, Fig. 5 elements X1-X6 and Y1-Y6);
a scanning line driving circuit that supplies to each of the scanning lines a
scanning signal which is set to be at a selection level and a non-selection level
corresponding to a selection period and a non-selection period of each scanning line
(Yamazaki, Fig. 5 element 12);

a plurality of data lines which are wired to cross the scanning lines (Yamazaki, Fig. 5 elements X1-X6);

Art Unit: 2629

a data line driving circuit that supplies to each of the data lines a data signal on the basis of display data, and pixels provided in portions where the scanning lines cross the data lines and driven on the basis of the scanning signals and the data signals (Yamazaki, Fig. 5 element 11).

the circuit comprising an electrode, which is wired to cross the data lines and is capacitively coupled with the data lines (Yamazaki, Fig. 5 elements Y2 and Y5);

the circuit comparing signal levels generated in the electrode to the predetermined level to produce an output value (Yamazaki, Fig. 5, element 531 and reference voltages V1 and V4); and

the circuit selectively adjusts a signal supplied to each scanning line b one of two predetermined amounts based, in part, upon the output value (Yamazaki, Fig. 5 elements 532, 533, V1' and V2').

Yamazaki fails to teach that the data signals are pulse width is modulated on the basis of display data. The AAPA teaches that it is well known in the art to use pulse width modulated data signals based on display data (AAPA, Col. 1 paragraph [0008]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use pulse width modulation as taught by the AAPA in the display device of Yamazaki in order to further enhance the control of gray scale images.

Regarding **Claims 9-11**, Yamazaki further teaches that the output value generated by the inversion logic circuit is high is a signal level generated in the electrode is lower than the predetermined level and low if a signal level generated in

Art Unit: 2629

the electrode is higher than the predetermined level (Yamazaki, Paragraphs [0044-0049] depending on the value generated by the electrode Yamazaki balances the final adjustment voltage which satisfies the claim language).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to Claims 1, 2 and 4-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2629

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ke Xiao whose telephone number is (571) 272-7776. The examiner can be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

March 6th, 2007 - kx -

PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 9